1 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ERIE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA 2 CRIMINAL DIVISION 3 * * * * * * * * * 4 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 5 No. 1528 of 2005 -VS-) 6 GEORGE L. EBERLE) 7 **APPEARANCES:** 8 Raquel Taylor, Esquire, 140 West Sixth Street, Erie, PA 16501 (814) 451-6349, for the Commonwealth 9 Vincent P. Nudi, Esquire, 1001 State Street, Suite 1417, Erie, PA 16501 (814) 480-5748, for the Defendant 10 11 12 SENTENCING 13 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 14 15 Before the Honorable Shad Connelly, Judge 16 Held in Courtroom No. 206-A 17 Wednesday, June 28, 2006, beginning at 8:53 a.m. 18 Linda L. Latva, RMR, Official Court Reporter 19 20 JUL | 3 2006 21 FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 22 ERIE - W.D. PENNSYLVANIA 23 24 25

AND NOW, on this 28th day of June, 2006, the within matter came on for hearing before the Honorable Shad Connelly, Judge, Erie County, Erie, Pennsylvania, with the presence of the parties and counsel as listed herein.

(June 28, 2006, beginning at 8:53 a.m.)

(The Court was not present for the colloquy dealing with the appellate rights.)

THE TIPSTAFF: Could you gentlemen all please raise your right hands.

JEREMY SCHULZ,

BENNY JAMES CROSBY .__

AND

being first duly called and sworn, testified as follows:

MC TAVIOR: Contlemen we're on the record

GEORGE L. EBERLE,

MS. TAYLOR: Gentlemen, we're on the record.

My name is Raquel Taylor. I'm a member of the

District Attorney's office, and I'm handling the

proceedings today in front of Judge Connelly. You

are here for different reasons. One of you is here

for a bench warrant review hearing, and you have no

rights that you need to be aware of at this time.

The other ones of you are here for either sentencing

or revocation and resentencing. Your rights are a

little different, and I'll explain where the

differences occur.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First of all, you have the right to file a postsentence motion. It has to be in writing; it has to include all of your requests; and you must file it with the Clerk of Courts, which is on the first floor of this building, 10 days from the date of your sentencing or resentencing, as the case may be.

You also have the right to file an appeal with the Superior Court of Pennsylvania. You do that by filing a written Notice of Appeal 30 days from the date of your sentencing or resentencing, as the case may be.

If you're here to be sentenced today with no revocation attached, these are the timelines that apply to you: First of all, you do not have to file a postsentence motion to preserve your right for appeal. You can skip that and go directly to filing your Notice of Appeal with the Superior Court. you elect to file a postsentence motion, you do not have to file your Notice of Appeal until your postsentence motion has been decided. Let's assume you do file a postsentence motion and Judge Connelly, for whatever reason, takes no action on it for 120 days. After 120 days it is deemed denied automatically as a matter of law, and you have 30 days from that date to file your Notice of Appeal.

1 If you're here to be revoked and resentenced, 2 you have 30 days from today's date to file your 3 Notice of Appeal regardless of what you decide to do 4 with respect to a postsentence motion. 5 If any of you gentlemen have any questions 6 about the rights that I have reviewed with you, we'll 7 address them when the Judge takes the bench. 8 (The Honorable Shad Connelly entered the courtroom.) 9 MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, this is the time set 10 aside for the sentencing of George L. Eberle at 11 Docket 1528 of 2005. Sir, you were present in the courtroom when I 12 **13** reviewed your rights; is that correct? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 MS. TAYLOR: Did you understand those rights? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 MS. TAYLOR: Do you have any questions? 18 THE DEFENDANT: No. 19 MS. TAYLOR: Please sign on the line marked 20 "defendant." 21 (The defendant complied.) 22 THE COURT: Mr. Nudi, on behalf of Mr. Eberle. 23 MR. NUDI: Thank you, your Honor. 24 Before I begin I did want to let your Honor 25 know that the federal matter is still outstanding.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With that in mind Mr. Eberle is not going to be addressing the Court this morning. He means no disrespect but until that negotiation is over, he really doesn't want to say too much.

With respect to these charges, as your Honor's aware, there were a host of other charges. This was a plea deal where it ended up as a no-contest plea to corruption. The main thing I want to cover with your Honor is the agreement from the Commonwealth with the no objection to a concurrent sentence. Because the federal matter is still outstanding, it kind of makes that a little bit difficult.

THE COURT: I think under Pennsylvania law it may make that impossible.

MR. NUDI: Well, I did have a suggestion. I don't know if you're inclined to go along with it. But being that that's essentially what the Commonwealth wants to do, and the standard range is RS to nine -- Mr. Eberle's been in since March of 2005, I believe -- and what your Honor can do is give him a standard-range sentence; and if we backdate his parole, he's not going anywhere because he's still in on the federal detainer. And then what would happen is anything -- once his parole date is met, if we backdate that, anything beyond that date would go

automatically as time served on his federal -- on the federal matter.

So what we're asking is for a standard-range sentence, which as indicated is RS to nine. We would ask your Honor to consider backdating the parole date to meet the Commonwealth's no objection to a concurrent sentence.

THE COURT: I'm not sure -- what do you mean "backdating the parole date"?

MR. NUDI: For instance, if your Honor were to give him a sentence of one to twelve in the standard range and then parole him -- backdate it so that like after two months he is paroled, he will not be getting out of prison but what will essentially happen is all that time will then go to the federal system.

THE COURT: I don't know as I'm inclined to do that. It seems to me that it's up to the federal system whether or not they want to give him a concurrent sentence in the state system.

MR. NUDI: I understand that. But I guess why
I was addressing it with your Honor is because the
Commonwealth here, as part of their deal, was saying
they have no objection to a concurrent sentence.

THE COURT: I understand.

1 MR. NUDI: And this was the only way we could 2 see to make that possible, if your Honor's inclined 3 to award a concurrent sentence. 4 THE COURT: Well, again, I can't award a 5 concurrent sentence. 6 MR. NUDI: I understand that. THE COURT: Whether or not he can receive 7 8 credit for time served is a different story. well, let's see what happens here and then 9 10 what happens in the federal court and then come over 11 and look at the circumstances at the appropriate 12 time. 13 MR. NUDI: Okay. Thank you, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything 15 else? 16 MR. NUDI: Nothing, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Is there anything you would like 18 to say to the Court, sir? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 20 MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, the victim and her 21 mother are present in the courtroom today. The 22 victim does not wish to address the Court, but the 23 mother does. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 SANDRA K. SMITH,

statements of defense counsel and the mother of the

victim. The Court has considered this defendant's

24

25

1.7

age, his background, his character and rehabilitative needs; the nature, circumstances, and seriousness of the offense; and the protection of the community.

while the crime in and of itself is, I suppose, disgusting -- is the best word for it -- the showing of the pornographic movie to a twelve-year-old child certainly has no place in a civilized society. And certainly, as the mother has said, may have a long-term effect on the daughter. I don't know whatever possessed you and your wife to do this, but whatever it was, it certainly wasn't anything having to do with intelligence.

The Court would note that the offense of the corrupting the morals of a minor is a misdemeanor of the first degree, and that is the only charge for which the defendant is before the Court. The defendant also comes before the Court with a prior record score of zero. The Court also notes that the standard-range sentence is between restorative sanctions and up to nine months. Under the circumstances the Court can find no significant reason to go outside that standard-range sentence. However, it is also obvious that based on the nature, circumstances, and seriousness of the crime that a sentence in the high end of the standard range of the

1 quidelines is appropriate. 2 The Court will therefore order as follows: 3 The defendant will be ordered to pay the costs of 4 prosecution, pay a fine of \$100, and the defendant 5 will be ordered incarcerated a minimum period of 6 which will be nine months, the maximum of which will be eighteen months. The defendant will receive 7 8 credit for time served from the date of his arrest. 9 which is March 28th of 2005. 10 MR. NUDI: Thank you. 11 (Court was adjourned.) 12 13 (June 28, 2006, at 9:10 a.m.) 14 MR. NUDI: Your Honor, I neglected to ask if 15 Mr. Eberle was eligible for parole because he's met his 16 minimum. 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. NUDI: And is it possible that I get that 19 from the nine months or is that effective today? 20 THE COURT: No, backdate it from the nine 21 months. 22 MR. NUDI: Okay. Thank you. 23 (Court was adjourned.) 24 (End of transcript.) 25

CERTIFICATION I HEREBY CERTIFY that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the hearing of the above cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same. Linda L. Latva, RMR Official Court Reporter The foregoing record of the proceedings upon the hearing of the above cause is hereby approved and directed to be filed. Shad Connelly, Judge Dated: July 7, 2006